

SENDER WILL CHECK CLASS!		ACTION TOP AND BOTTOM	
<input type="checkbox"/>	UNCLASSIFIED	<input type="checkbox"/>	CONFIDENTIAL
<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	SECRET
OFFICIAL ROUTING SLIP			
TO	NAME AND ADDRESS	DATE	INITIALS
1	<i>C/ops</i>		
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
<input type="checkbox"/>	ACTION	<input type="checkbox"/>	DIRECT REPLY
<input type="checkbox"/>	APPROVAL	<input type="checkbox"/>	DISPATCH
<input type="checkbox"/>	COMMENT	<input type="checkbox"/>	FILE
<input type="checkbox"/>	CONCURRENCE	<input type="checkbox"/>	INFORMATION
<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	PREPARE REPLY
<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	RECOMMENDATION
<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	RETURN
<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	SIGNATURE
Remarks:			
FOLD HERE TO RETURN TO SENDER			
FROM: NAME, ADDRESS AND PHONE NO.			DATE
<i>FCS</i>			<i>11/2</i>
<input type="checkbox"/>	UNCLASSIFIED	<input type="checkbox"/>	CONFIDENTIAL
<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	SECRET

i'm also puzzled on this one. suggest we send a message to art asking if bbc rejection was of 1 october letter contents or yesterday's cable. our cable in effect agreed with everything that the 31 july meeting suggested. i suspect our cable was not in hand for this meeting. i would not want the group to go back to the drawing board without having considered the revised position in our cable. art's message refs your original one about forwarding new guidance. the one we sent yesterday was 3081. to try and head off further misunderstanding suggest we send cable along following lines:

[REDACTED]

STAT

assume contents of fhis 3081 were not in hand for working group meeting. we think it provides good basis for agreement both sides desire and should obviate going back to drawing boards for simpler approach indicated in ld 66806. if this not the case, please advise specific stumbling blocks.

CB

CONFIDENTIAL

FCS
4015

CONFIDENTIAL 071044Z NOV 75 STAFF
CITE FBIS/LONDON 66806

TO: FBIS.

REF: FBIS 3076

STAT

WORKING GROUP HAS NOW HAD ANOTHER MEETING AND WAS UNABLE
TO ACCEPT FCS DEFINITIONS, THE SOURCES IT CITED AS AUTHORITY,
AND SOME OF ITS OBJECTIONS TO AGREEMENTS ALREADY ACHIEVED.
RESULT HAS BEEN TO STEER THE GROUP ON TO A SIMPLER APPROACH
TO SOURCELINE RULES TO MAKE IT EASIER TO GET AGREEMENT FROM
ALL SIDES. YOU CAN EXPECT A REVISED SET OF RECOMMENDATIONS
SOON FROM THE GROUP REFLECTING THIS APPROACH. E2 IMPDET.

CONFIDENTIAL

12 FCS

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

OPS 9-0

CONFIDENTIAL 061835Z NOV 75 STAFF

CITE FBIS 3081

TO: FBIS/LONDON.

STAT

REGRET OUR 1 OCTOBER LETTER CAUSED CONCERN. THIS WAS NOT OUR INTENT. WE WILL NOT TREAT VOICE AND PRESS AGENCY SOURCELINES SEPARATELY. WE HAVE FURTHER REVIEWED POINTS IN 31 JUL MEETING THAT REQUIRED CONCURRENCE OR COMMENT, PLUS 1 OCTOBER LETTER, AND CONCLUDED THAT APART FROM SOME MINOR REPHRASING WE CAN AGREE. OUR RESPONSE TO POINTS RAISED IN 31 JULY MEETING, WHICH WE THINK IS IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOAL STATED IN CHANDLEE'S 5 NOVEMBER MESSAGE, IS AS FOLLOWS:

1. WE AGREE WITH THE WORDING OF 101 (I) WITHOUT ANY QUALIFIERS.
2. SINCE POINT 1.03 NOTES THAT BOTH SIDES ACCEPT THE PRINCIPLE THAT "DOMESTIC" AND "EXTERNAL" SHOULD BE INCLUDED ONLY IN SOURCELINES OF OFFICIALS RADIOS, IT WOULD BE LOGICAL TO REFLECT THIS VIEW IN WORDING OF POINTS 101 (II), 101 (III), AND 101 (IV). THUS, WE SUGGEST INSERTING THE WORD "OFFICIAL" BEFORE THE REFERENCES TO TRANSMISSIONS AND BROADCASTS IN THESE POINTS.
3. WE AGREE TO PHRASING IN 1.02 AND 1.06, WHICH WE ALSO INTERPRET TO MEAN EITHER PARTY HAS OPTION TO INCLUDE OR ELIMINATE DESTINATION IF IT CHOOSES.
4. WE CONCUR WITH WORDING OF 1.03, 1.04.
5. WE AWAIT LIST FROM BBC NOTED IN 1.05.
6. YOU ALREADY HAVE OUR VIEW ON CLANDESTINES FOR MEETING MENTIONED IN 1.07.
7. FOR OUR INFORMATION, ARE WE CORRECT IN ASSUMING WORKING GROUP USE OF THE WORD "TRANSMISSIONS" REFERS TO VOICE AND PRESS AGENCY MATERIAL, WHEREAS "BROADCASTS" REFER ONLY TO VOICE MATERIAL.
8. PLEASE ADVISE IF THIS REVISED APPROACH STILL PRESENTS PROBLEMS FOR YOU. E2IMPDET.

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

C O N F I D E N T I A L 052115Z NOV 75 STAFF

CITE FBIS 3076

TO: FBIS/LONDON.

REF: FBIS/LONDON 66582

STAT

REGRET THAT FCS 1 OCT LETTER HAD DISCOURAGING IMPACT. WE, TOO, HAVE HIGH PRAISE FOR PAINSTAKING WORK OF WORKING GROUP AND HAVE BEEN PLEASED WITH RESULTS TO DATE. WE EMPHATICALLY WANT TO ENCOURAGE FURTHER ACTIVITY BY THE GROUP. OUR REVIEW SHOWS MERIT ON BOTH SIDES OF THE QUESTION. WE WANT TO AVOID BEING EXCESSIVELY DOGMATIC ABOUT SOURCELINE CONSTRUCTION AND FIND A BROAD BASIS OF AGREEMENT; BUT WE ALSO WANT A DEGREE OF PRECISION WHICH WILL INSURE THAT WE HAVE A WORKABLE TOOL IN THE SOURCELINE RULES DEVELOPED BY THE WORKING GROUP. WE WILL REVIEW YOUR PROMISED LETTER ON THIS SUBJECT AND WILL THEN PROVIDE YOUR REPS ON THE WORKING GROUP WITH FURTHER GUIDANCE.

E2 IMPDET.

C O N F I D E N T I A L

CONFIDENTIAL